

SAMARTH EDUCATIONAL TRUST

ARVIND GAVALI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, SATARA

TEACHER FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2018-19

TOTAL RESPONSES: 131




Name of the Teacher

131 responses

- Jadhav S S
- Basavaraj G N
- Mr. Pathak P.A
- Nayak B M
- Somesha Naik S R
- Prof. Mohite J. R
- Chavan S. V


Name of the Organization

131 responses




Designation

131 responses



Department

131 responses



Email ID.

119 responses

shitaljadhav@gmail.com

basu.nelogal@gmail.com

pranavpathak.rgpm@gmail.com

kurkutesanjay@yahoo.com

saritajadhav79@gmail.com

samina.mulla26@gmail.com

vishgaikwad02@gmail.com

someshanaik001@gmail.com

Contact No

131 responses

7276835606

7775966294

7028582994

9742832139

9423892675

9146711511

9663553985

9552765717




Name of the course on which feedback is given

131 responses

EGU
PD
INCES
PS-I
NMP
HVDC
ADE


1. Relevance of learning objectives of the curriculum.

131 responses




2. Depth of course content with respect to industrial requirement?

131 responses




3. Curriculum weightage given to skill development/ entrepreneurship/ research.

131 responses




4. How will you rate the difficulty level of the course content.

131 responses




5. Relevance of the course to industry and societal needs.

131 responses




6. Proficiency level of student in pre-requisites.

131 responses




7. Response of the students during delivery of the session.

131 responses



8. Communication of the students with the expert during the session.


131 responses



- Poor
- Good
- Excellent

9. Understanding level of technical knowledge / curriculum of the students.

131 responses




- Poor
- Good
- Excellent



10. Are you satisfied with the quality of questions asked by the students.

131 responses



- Yes
- No

11. Suggestions if any

41 responses

NA

No

NO

no

Case study based curriculum is expected

No suggestion

Ok

Syllabus Structure nice



SUGGESTIONS:

- 1) Student's communication skill should be improved.
- 2) Percentage of Placement should be improved.
- 3) Institute and industry interaction should be improved.

OVERAL ANALYSIS:

APPRECIATION	SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Syllabus structure is well designed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Student's communication skill should be improved.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Curriculum is mainly focussed on Industry as well as Society needs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Percentage of Placement should be improved.
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Questions asked by the students during the lecture delivery is good.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Institute and industry interaction should be improved.



ACTION TAKEN PLAN

ACTION PLAN FOR IMPROVEMENT	REMARK
<ul style="list-style-type: none">To improve the communication skill of students Placement cell has been arranged and through which various activities will be done.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">After arranging the Aptitude and Placement activities Placement rate of the Institute has been improved.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">To have Institute and Industry interaction MOU has been signed and through which various activities has been done like, Project, Internship, Guest lecture etc,.	



AMC

PRINCIPAL

Principal

Arvind Gavali College of Engineering
Panmalewadi, Satara.