

Arvind Gavali College of Engineering, Satara  
Department of Civil Engineering

**Final Year Feedback**

Month-December-January 2022

Total Responses:-35

Total Class Strength-68

Feedback Percentage:-51.47%

**Faculty-Subject Distribution**

Sr.No	Subject	Abbr.	Name of the Faculty	Abbr.
1	Design of Concrete Structure II	DCS II	Mr. A.V. Gajjar / Dr. P.R. Bhatnagar	AVGJ/PBG
2	Infrastructure Engineering	IE	Ms. D.S. Jadhav	DSJ
3	Water Resources Engineering	WRE	Ms. A.R. Mangar	ARM
4	Professional Practices	PP	Ms. S. B. Patil	SBP
5	Elective IV	E/IV	Mr. G.S. Tamolik	GST
6	Elective V	E/V	Dr. V.R. Thorbare	VRT
7	Design & Drawing of RC & Steel Structures Laboratory	DDS Lab	Ms. S.S. Jadhav-Ms. D.S. Jadhav	SSJ/DSJ
8	Professional Practices Laboratory	PP Lab	Mr. G.S. Tamolik	GST
9	Seminar	Seminar	Dr. V.R. Thorbare	VRT
10	Project Phase 1	Project Phase 1	Dr. V.R. Thorbare	VRT

1. How much syllabus was covered in the class?

Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DRS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
65 to 100%	13	25	25	23	20	19	22	24	22	22	61.42
70 to 84%	9	9	9	11	12	10	11	9	8	9	27.71
55 to 69%	6	1	1	1	0	3	1	0	4	3	5.71
30 to 54%	5	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	1	3.42
below 30%	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1.71

2. How well teachers are prepared for the classes?

Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E/IV	E/V	DRS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Thoroughly	13	17	16	15	13	14	17	16	14	14	42.57
Satisfactory	16	17	18	18	18	16	17	17	15	13	48.57
Poor	5	1	1	2	4	5	1	2	5	3	14.86
Indifferently	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Worst teach all	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.99

3. How well the teachers are able to communicate?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Always effective	15	23	22	20	17	17	20	19	17	17	53.42
Sometimes effective	16	11	12	14	16	14	14	15	13	14	39.71
Not Effective	4	1	1	1	2	4	1	1	4	4	6.57
Generally ineffective	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0.28
Very poor communication	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

4. The teachers' approach to teaching can best be described as:



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Excellent	14	15	15	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	42.85
Very good	12	16	15	15	13	12	15	14	13	13	39.42
Good	8	4	5	4	5	6	3	4	5	5	14.00
Fair	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1.71
Poor	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.00

5. Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Always fair	16	15	16	15	16	15	16	15	16	15	44.28
Usually fair	15	19	18	19	18	17	18	18	17	17	50.28
Sometimes unfair	4	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	3	5.42
Unfair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Always fair	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

6. Does the teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Significantly	15	17	16	17	16	17	16	17	16	17	46.85
Very well	13	14	15	15	14	12	14	12	13	13	38.57
Moderately	6	4	4	3	5	6	5	6	6	5	14.58
Marginaly	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not at all	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

7. Was your performance in assignments/extra practice test discussed with you?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Emergency	18	18	19	19	18	11	16	19	18	19	50.57
Usually	13	14	13	13	13	4	14	12	13	12	34.57
Occasionally/Sometimes	4	3	2	2	4	1	3	4	3	3	8.28
Rarely	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1.14
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

8. The faculty takes active interest in promoting Internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Regularly	20	19	20	19	20	19	19	19	19	13	54.57
Often	14	15	14	14	13	15	15	15	13	14	40.57
Occasionally/Sometimes	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	3	3	8.25
Rarely	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

9. Does institute provides multiple opportunities for you to learn and grow?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Emergency	18	19	18	19	18	19	18	19	18	20	53.14
Agree	14	14	15	14	14	12	15	13	14	13	39.42
Neutral	2	1	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	1	4.57
Disagree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2.85
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

10. Does teacher illustrates the concepts through examples and applications?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Emergency	18	17	18	18	18	17	19	17	13	17	50.29
Usually	15	17	16	15	18	18	15	16	13	14	41.71
Occasionally/Sometimes	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	4	4	6.00
Rarely	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



11 Does teacher identifies your strengths and encourage you by providing right level of challenges?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Everyone	17	18	19	18	18	17	19	18	19	17	51.42
Usually	13	13	12	13	13	14	12	13	11	13	36.28
Occasionally/Sometimes	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	12.28
Rarely	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

12 Does institute makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Everyone	17	17	18	17	17	18	17	18	17	15	48.00
Usually	15	16	15	16	15	16	18	16	14	15	44.00
Occasionally/Sometimes	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	4	4	8.00
Rarely	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

13. Efforts are made by teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employ-ability skills to make you ready for the world of work




Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
To a great extent	16	17	17	17	16	17	16	17	16	17	47.42
Moderate	15	16	16	16	16	13	17	15	15	14	43.71
Somewhat	4	2	2	2	3	5	2	3	4	4	8.85
Very little	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not at all	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

14. What percentage of teaching includes use of ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia or presentations etc while teaching ?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Above 80%	14	16	17	17	15	14	15	14	15	14	43.14
70-80%	14	16	15	15	16	16	15	16	15	15	43.71
50-60%	5	2	2	2	3	4	4	4	4	5	10.00
30-40%	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2.00
Below 20%	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1.14

15. The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E/V	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Strongly agree	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	15	15	15	43.71
Agree	14	17	16	17	15	15	16	16	15	15	44.57
Neutral	3	1	3	1	4	3	2	2	3	3	7.14
Disagree	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	3.42
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1.14

16. Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E/V	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Strongly agree	16	16	16	16	17	16	16	17	16	16	43.28
Agree	15	16	15	16	14	15	15	14	15	15	42.85
Neutral	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	7.71
Disagree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	3.14
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

17. Does teacher inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes, and program outcomes?



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E/V	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Strongly agree	16	17	16	16	16	15	16	16	16	16	46.28
Agree	13	13	11	14	14	14	13	13	14	13	37.71
Neutral	6	5	6	5	5	6	6	6	5	6	16.00
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Strongly disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

18. Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with as assigned task to you



Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E/V	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Strongly agree	17	18	17	18	18	17	17	18	17	16	43.85
Agree	14	13	14	13	12	13	14	15	13	14	38.57
Neutral	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	9.71
Disagree	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.28
Strongly disagree	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2.57

19. Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them

Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
Engineering	16	17	16	17	17	16	17	16	17	16	47.14
Usually	15	14	15	14	13	14	14	14	14	14	40.28
Occasionally/Sometimes	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	10.57
Rarely	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	2.00
Never	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

20. The instructor/teachers use student-centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning, and problem-solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences

Subject	DCS-II	IE	WRE	PP	E-IV	E-V	DDS Lab	PP Lab	Seminar	Project Phase 1	%
To a great extent	15	15	15	16	16	16	15	16	15	15	44.28
Mostly	18	18	18	15	13	13	17	13	14	16	42.57
Some what	4	3	4	4	6	6	3	6	6	4	13.14
Very Little	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not at all	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Give 3 observation/ Suggestion to improve the overall teaching learning experience of respective teachers

1. Take more lectures for DCS II
2. Provide proper classroom for civil
3. Improve ICT use

### Overall Analysis

Subject	Faculty	Avg. %	Appreciation	Suggestion for Improvement	Faculty Signs
Design of Concrete Structure II	Mr. Qadir A.V/Dr. Barnane P.R.	83%	Notes provided on time	Need to clear concepts	<i>Barnane</i>
Water Resources Engineering	Ms. Mahajan A.R.	85%	Presentation Skill	Arrange guest lectures for this subject	<i>AM Mahajan</i>
Infrastructure Engineering	Ms. Jadhav D.S.	84%	Notes provision on time	Cover syllabus on time	<i>D. Jadhav</i>
Professional Practices	Ms. Patil S.B.	83%	Real life examples provided	Discusses students performance in class	<i>S. Patil</i>
Elective IV (CT)	Ms. Tantol G.S.	80%	Presentation skills improved	Arrange visit for this subject	<i>G. S. Tantol</i>
Elective V (Team and country planning)	Dr. Barnane P.R.	85%	Good presentation skill	Improve ICT use	<i>Barnane</i>



# Overall Analysis

Subject	Faculty	Avg. %	Appreciation	Suggestion for Improvement	Faculty Signs
Design & Drawing of RC & Steel Structures Laboratory	Ms. Jedhe S.S/ Ms. Jadhav D.S.	86%	Doubt Clearance	Provide CAD Lab Facility	
Professional Practices Laboratory	Ms. Tamboli G.S.	85%	Real life examples given to clear doubts	Give information of future scope of the subject	
Project/Seminar	Dr. V. R. Thombare (R&D Committee)	80%	Nice Coordination by R&D Team	Provide more industry guides.	

Ms. A.R. Mahajan  
AMC

Dr. V.R. Thombare  
HOD

Dr. V.A. Pharande  
Principal

Head Engineering Civil Department  
KJ Somaiya Institute of Engineering & Technology  
Panmalewadi (Varvel)